

RECEIVED
CENTRAL FAX CENTER

JAN 13 2006

Applicant's Response to Supplementary Notice of Allowability

Applicant: Huey Thomas Crochet

Application #: 10,083,771

Title: Dynamic Snag Resistant Fishing Weight

New Examiner: Peter Poon

Art Unit: 3643

37 CFR 1.8

The applicant points to and relies upon his arguments to the original notice of allowability, regarding Examiner Rowan's amendments, and adds that the record shows that Examiner Rowan has reconsidered once, had two erroneous letters of non-compliance withdrawn, has had to reconsider his rejection of Claim 21 which erroneously instigated a statutory abandonment against the applicant, and has now given the applicant a notice of allowance by making an examiner's amendment that is clearly erroneous and is in direct conflict with MPEP rules.

With regard to concerns of new matter, posed after said amendments by new Examiner Poon, the applicant points to and relies upon his arguments in his reply to the Summary of Interview, also being submitted on this day.

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being facsimile transmitted to the USPTO (FAX # 571-273-8300) on this day JAN. 12, 2006.

Huey Thomas Crochet

Huey Thomas Crochet

RECEIVED
CENTRAL FAX CENTER

JAN 13 2006

Applicant's Summary of Interview of
10/25/05 and 11/21/05 – 11/22/05

Regarding: Examiner's Amendments of 10/18/05

Applicant: Huey Thomas Crochet

Application #: 10,083,771

Title of Invention: Dynamic Snag Resistant Fishing Weight

New Examiner: Supervisor Peter Poon

Art Unit: 3643

37CFR 1.8

Applicant's response to Summary of Interview of 10/25 and 11/21
– 11/22 attached to Supplementary Notice of Allowance regarding
examiner's amendments of 10/18/05.

37CFR 1.8

1. There were no exhibits or demonstrations.
2. Claim 22 was discussed briefly regarding the examiner's amendment to said claim which causes confusion as to a definitive placement of the hole, fashioned for line attachment, which resides, in part, with the hemisphere head terminating the short angled portion of the body of the applicant's fishing weight.
The applicant objected.
3. No prior art was discussed.
4. With regard to Examiner Rowan's amendments contained in the applicant's notice of allowance, it is clear that Supervisor Poon supports said amendments as witnessed by his Supplementary Notice of Allowance.